

81 said front and rear walls, and said control components being housed within said space between said front and rear walls.

Amend the claims as follows:

Claim ~~2~~, line 1 cancel "9" and insert - 11 -

Claim ~~2~~, line 4 cancel "backframe" and insert- apparatus-

Claim ~~4~~, line 1 cancel "9" and insert - 11 -

Claim ~~5~~, line 1 cancel "9" and insert - 11 -


Claim ~~7~~, line 1 cancel "9" and insert - 11 -

#### REMARKS

The examiner's courtesy extended during an interview on November 22, 1999 is acknowledged with appreciation. The above new independent claim 11 and amendments to the dependent claims are believed to overcome the examiner's objections to the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 and to patentably define applicants' invention over the art cited under 35 U.S.C. 103. As to the examiner's objection to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a), the language relating to the shape of the enclosure has been deleted from the claims.

Therefore, reconsideration of applicants' invention as defined in claims 2-5, 7 and 11, entry of this amendment and allowance of the claims are requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Walter A. Rodgers  
Attorney's Reg. No. 27,380  
404-705-9299

Date: December 15, 1999  
880 North Island Drive  
Atlanta, Georgia 30327

